

**Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary**

Application No.

10/069,280

Applicant(s)

SHUKLA ET AL.

Examiner

Leigh C. Maier

Art Unit

1623

**All Participants:**(1) Leigh C. Maier.(2) Mark Cohen.**Status of Application:** after final

(3) \_\_\_\_\_

(4) \_\_\_\_\_

**Date of Interview:** 2 December 2004**Time:** \_\_\_\_\_**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

**Part II.****SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:***Called to discuss the claims and contents of this advisory. Was unable to reach Mr. Cohen and left voice mail message.***Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)